arXiv:1606.07352v2 [math.AP] 2 Mar 2017

AN INVERSE PROBLEM FROM CONDENSED MATTER PHYSICS

RU-YU LAI, RAVI SHANKAR, DANIEL SPIRN, AND GUNTHER UHLMANN

Abstract. We consider the problem of reconstructing the features of a weak anisotropic background potential by the trajectories of vortex dipoles in a nonlinear Gross-Pitaevskii equation. At leading order, the dynamics of vortex dipoles are given by a Hamiltonian system. If the background potential is sufficiently smooth and flat, the background can be reconstructed using ideas from the boundary and the lens rigidity problems.

1. Introduction

We consider the question of reconstruction of a potential from the dynamical behavior of vortex dipoles in an inhomogeneous Gross-Pitaevskii equation,

$$i\partial_t u_\varepsilon = \Delta u_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\left(p_\varepsilon^2(x) - |u_\varepsilon|^2\right) u_\varepsilon \tag{1}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^2$. The inhomogenous Gross-Pitaevskii equation (1) arises in many places, including Bose-Einstein Condensation (BEC), nonlinear optics [1, 2, 19], and the behavior of superfluid ^{4}He near a boundary [20, 27, 30]. We refer to [19] for discussion of the relevance to physical problems. Our motivation stems from the nontrivial dynamical behavior of vortices in Bose-Einstein Condensates, as discussed below.

Vortices are a fundamental feature of Bose-Einstein condensates. They are localized regions where the condensed matter loses its superstate and where the modulus of u_ε locally vanishes. Vortices also carry a quantized degree about each nodal point, and so in two dimensions solutions of (1) with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ take the form

$$u_\varepsilon(x,t) \approx \prod_{j=1}^{d} \rho_\varepsilon(|x-a_j(t)|)\left(\frac{x-a_j(t)}{|x-a_j(t)|}\right)^{d_j}, \tag{2}$$

where $d_j \in \{-1,1\}$ is the degree of the vortex about $a_j(t)$ and $\rho_\varepsilon(s) \to 0$ as $s \to 0$ and roughly $|u_\varepsilon(x)|^2 \approx p_\varepsilon^2(x)$ in $\cap_j\{|x-a_j| \gg \varepsilon\}$.

Dynamical motion laws for the vortex positions, $a_j(t)$ in (1) were first proposed by Fetter [6] for trivial backgrounds $p_\varepsilon(x) \equiv 1$, and it was shown that the $a_j(t)$ with initial positions a_j^0 are governed by an ODE that depends solely on vortex-vortex interaction,

$$\pi d_j \dot{a}_j = \nabla_{a_j}^\perp W(a,d), \tag{3}$$

where $a = (a_1,\dots,a_n)$, $d = \{d_1,\dots,d_n\}$, and $W(a,d)$ is the Coulomb potential,

$$W(a,d) = -\sum_{j\neq k} d_j d_k \log|a_j - a_k|. \tag{4}$$

R.-Y. L. was partly supported by the AMS-Simons Travel Grants. R.S., D.S. and G.U. were supported in part by the NSF.

In what follows, we will always take $d_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. ODE (3) is identical to the Kirchoff-Onsager law for point vortices in two dimensional incompressible Euler equations. The connection between (1) and (3) was rigorously proved by [5], and also [13].

When the BEC has a strong trapping potential, $p_\varepsilon(x) = O(1)$, then vortices tend to travel slowly along the level sets of the Thomas-Fermi profile. Fetter-Svidzinsky [7] wrote down the corresponding dynamical law,

$$\pi d_j \dot{a}_j = \nabla^\perp_{a_j} \log \rho_{TF}(a_j), \tag{5}$$

where the Thomas-Fermi profile ρ_{TF} arises from solving the elliptic PDE,

$$0 = \Delta \rho_{TF} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(p_\varepsilon^2(x) - \rho_{TF}^2)\rho_{TF}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Jerrard-Smets provided a rigorous proof of (5) in [11].

1.1. **Critical scaling dynamics.** Dipoles in BECs have been created in physical experiments, by using the Kibble-Zurek mechanism [8] and by dragging non radially symmetric BEC's through laser obstacles in [17], and in numerical experiments [15]. These studies show that dipoles interact in nontrivial ways with each other and the background potentials. Reduced ODE models for the dynamics of dipole configurations in BECs were proposed in [16, 24, 25, 26] and agree with numerical simulations of the full equation (1) and with physical experiments, [16]. These ODE models, described below, were rigorously proven in [12].

There is a critical asymptotic regime where vortices interact with both the background potential $p_\varepsilon(x)$ and each other. This corresponds to studying how either vortices transition over small material defects, whose size is related to the length scale associated to the vortex cores, or how BEC vortices behave in anisotropic potentials, which have been physically realized, see [8, 17].

Along the lines of the reduced ODE's (3) and (5), a set of simple ODE's with anisotropic traps were proposed and studied in which vortices interact with the background potential and with each other, see [25]. Note also the earlier work on vortices in BEC in isotropic potentials, [24, 26]. A rigorous proof was proved by [12] in a specific critical regime of inhomogeneities. When $p_\varepsilon(x)$ is asymptotically close to 1, described below, then vortices interact with both the background and each other, and outside of the range one finds induce dynamics that are dominated by only the background potential or by only vortex-vortex interactions. In particular, if one sets $p_\varepsilon^2(x) = 1 + \frac{\rho_\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|}$ and if $\rho_\varepsilon(x) \to Q_0(x)$ in a sufficiently smooth topology, then one finds that vortices a_j move according to the Hamiltonian,

$$\pi d_j \dot{a}_j = \nabla^\perp_{a_j} H(a, d), \tag{6}$$

where

$$H(a, d) = W(a, d) + \pi Q_0(a) \tag{7}$$

and $W(a, d)$ is the Coulomb potential defined in (4). Here $Q_0(x)$ is the limiting rescaled background potential. The following result of [12] establishes links between (1) and (6)-(7):

Theorem 1 ([12])**.** *Let $p_\varepsilon^2 = 1 + \frac{\rho_\varepsilon(x)}{|\log \varepsilon|}$ where the $\rho_\varepsilon \to Q_0$ in H^4. Let $\{a_j^0, d_j\}$ be a configuration of vortices such that $d_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and suppose $u_\varepsilon^0, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is well-prepared initial data. If $u_\varepsilon(t)$ is a solution to (1) with initial data u_ε^0, then there exists a time $T > 0$*

such that for all $t \in [0,T]$, $u_\varepsilon(x,t)$ *is asymptotically close to* (2) *with vortex locations given by a solution to the ODE* (6)-(7)*.

The notion of well-preparedness requires that the initial data have the asymptotically-correct amount of energy to generate the n vortices.

When there are only two vortices present on $\mathbb{R}^2$ then it is straightforward to see that $T = \infty$; hence, dipoles will never collide in finite time, so long as Q_0 is sufficiently smooth. Vortex dipoles that interact with each other and the background potential have been created experimentally, [17].

1.2. **Notation.** We first introduce some notations. For $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we define $u^\perp = (u_2, -u_1)$. If a function $w : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, then we denote the gradient of w by $\nabla w = (\partial_1 w, \partial_2 w)$ and also $\nabla^\perp w = (\partial_2 w, -\partial_1 w)$. Similarly, if a function $f : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$. We define $\nabla_{a_i} f$, the gradient of f with respect to $a_i = (a_{i,1}, a_{i,2})$, by

$$\nabla_{a_i} f = (\partial_{a_{i,1}} f, \partial_{a_{i,2}} f)$$

and also define the function $\nabla^\perp_{a_i} f$ by

$$\nabla^\perp_{a_i} f = (\partial_{a_{i,2}} f, -\partial_{a_{i,1}} f).$$

1.3. **Setup of the dipole problem.** Consider a pair of vortices with centers $a = \{a_+, a_-\}$ of opposite charge (vortex dipole) $d = \{d_+, d_-\} = \{1, -1\}$. We replace a_1 and a_2 in the limiting ODE (6) by a_+ and a_-, respectively, then we obtain the following equations:

$$\pi d_\pm \dot{a}_\pm = \nabla^\perp_{a_\pm} H(a,d), \tag{8}$$

where the function H defined in (7) is

$$H(a,d) = W(a,d) + \pi(Q_0(a_+) + Q_0(a_-))$$

with the Coulomb potential

$$W(a,d) = -d_+ d_- \log|a_+ - a_-| = \log|a_+ - a_-|$$

on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

A direct computation of (8) gives the following evolution.

$$\dot{a}_+ = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{(a_+ - a_-)^\perp}{|a_+ - a_-|^2} + \nabla^\perp Q_0(a_+), \tag{9}$$

$$-\dot{a}_- = -\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{(a_+ - a_-)^\perp}{|a_+ - a_-|^2} + \nabla^\perp Q_0(a_-). \tag{10}$$

To simplify the problem, we will track the centers of mass q and the travel direction $p^\perp$ which are evaluated as follows:

$$p(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_+(t) - a_-(t)\right)$$
$$q(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_+(t) + a_-(t)\right).$$

*Although the proof in [12] is for bounded domains, the argument can be adapted to $\Omega \equiv \mathbb{R}^2$ by integrating methods for Gross-Pitaevsky vortex dynamics on $\mathbb{R}^2$. See Remark 1.1 of [12].

Here, $2p$ is the dipole displacement. From (9) and (10), we obtain a new ODE system for p and q:

$$2\dot{p} = \nabla^\perp Q_0(q+p) + \nabla^\perp Q_0(q-p) \tag{11}$$

$$2\dot{q} = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{p^\perp}{|p|^2} + (\nabla^\perp Q_0(q+p) - \nabla^\perp Q_0(q-p)). \tag{12}$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$H(q,p^\perp) = H(q_1,q_2;p_2,-p_1) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\log|p| + \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_0(q+p) + Q_0(q-p)\right). \tag{13}$$

Note that when the background potential Q_0 is constant, the dipole displacement $2p$ is a constant vector, which from (12) implies that a dipole will travel with constant velocity and fixed direction $p^\perp$. On the other hand, if the background potential is variable, the inhomogeneities will refract the dipole paths. The behavior of the ODE system generated by dipoles in an anisotropic potential is nontrivial, see figure 1. The dynamical behavior of



FIGURE 1. Trajectories of the dipoles (solid lines) and of their centers of mass (dotted line) off anisotropic background potentials $0.1e^{-|(x_1,x_2)|^2}$ and $0.1e^{-|(x_1,x_2)-(1,0)|^2} + 0.1e^{-|(x_1,x_2)+(1,0)|^2}$.

dipoles in the presence of anisotropic backgrounds is extremely rich and has been studied, see for example [9].

In this paper, we are interested in the reconstruction of the inhomogeneous potential $Q_0(x)$ from the dipole paths which are characterized by (11) and (12). The detailed setting of this inverse problem will be discussed in the following section.

2. An Inverse Problem: The Main Result

Let Ω be an open, bounded, and simply connected domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and $B_{\rho/2\pi} \supset \overline{\Omega}$ be an open ball with center at the origin and the radius $\rho/2\pi > 0$. We denote the boundary of $B_{\rho/2\pi}$ by $\partial B_{\rho/2\pi}$. To recover a background potential Q_0 which is constant outside Ω, we will first send vortices with initial positions outside $B_{\rho/2\pi}$ into Ω. Then, after the vortices exit Ω and $B_{\rho/2\pi}$, we will use their positions and travel directions on $B_{\rho/2\pi}$ to deduce Q_0.

Our uniqueness result will be that two potentials Q_0^1 and Q_0^2 recovered in this way are identical if they are known to be sufficiently flat.

We denote the center of mass by $x(t)$ and the travel direction by $\xi(t)$; that is, $x(t) = q(t)$ and $\xi(t) = p(t)^\perp$. From (11)-(13), the trajectories of the center of mass and the travel direction are modeled by the following Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x}(s) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi}, \quad \dot{\xi}(s) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x},$$

where the Hamiltonian is

$$H(q, p^\perp) \equiv H(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |\xi| + \frac{1}{2}(Q_0(x + \xi^\perp) + Q_0(x - \xi^\perp)). \tag{14}$$

For initial conditions $(x(0), \xi(0)) = (x_0, \xi_0) =: X_0$, we let direction $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1 = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\theta| = 1\}$ and position x_0 satisfy $x_0 \cdot \xi_0 = -\rho/2\pi$. Equivalently, for each $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$, we let $x_0 = z - \frac{\rho}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|}$, where $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies $z \cdot \xi_0 = 0$. When $z = 0$, x_0 is on $\partial B_{\rho/2\pi}$, opposite to direction ξ_0. For large z, the vortex trajectories will not intersect $B_{\rho/2\pi}$. See Figure 2.

Suppose that H_1, H_2 are the Hamiltonians corresponding to two different limiting background potentials Q_0^j for $j = 1, 2$, respectively. We denote (x_j, ξ_j) to be the solutions of the following Hamiltonian system with the same initial conditions X_0:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_j(s) = \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial \xi} \\ x_j(0) = x_0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_j(s) = -\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x} \\ \xi_j(0) = \xi_0. \end{cases}$$

More precisely, (x_1, ξ_1) and (x_2, ξ_2) are the solutions to the systems :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^\perp Q_0(x + \xi^\perp) - \nabla^\perp Q_0(x - \xi^\perp)) \\ x(0) = z - \frac{\rho}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}(s) = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla Q_0(x + \xi^\perp) + \nabla Q_0(x - \xi^\perp)) \\ \xi(0) = \xi_0 \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

with Q_0 replaced by Q_0^1 and Q_0^2, respectively. The solutions of (15)-(16) are denoted by

$$X_j(s, X_0) = X_j(s, x_0, \xi_0) = (x_j(s), \xi_j(s)), \quad j = 1, 2$$

with initial condition X_0 and limiting background potentials Q_0^j.

For each X_0 described above and each $j = 1, 2$, let

$$t^j \equiv t^j(X_0) = \sup\left\{ s > 0 : \{x_j(s) \pm \xi_j^\perp(s)\}_{\pm=+,-} \cap \overline{B}_{\rho/2\pi} \neq \varnothing \right\} \tag{17}$$

be the time when both vortices $x_j(s) \pm \xi_j^\perp(s)$ have exited $B_{\rho/2\pi}$. If no such s is in the above set (i.e. large z), then $t^j = 0$. If Q_0 is constant outside Ω and sufficiently flat, then t^j is finite for each such X_0, and by compactness, the largest such time

$$\tau^j = \sup_{\substack{|\xi_0|=1, \\ z\cdot\xi_0=0}} t^j(X_0) \tag{18}$$

is finite.

Our main result, which is stated in the following theorem, is the uniqueness of the potential Q_0 from the dipole's trajectory. The proof is given in Section 5.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $Q_0^j \in C^8(\Omega)$ for $j = 1, 2$ are background potentials that satisfy $Q_0^1 = Q_0^2 =$ constant in $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \Omega$. Suppose for all X_0 given above that $t^1 = t^2$ and $X_1(t^1, X_0) = X_2(t^2, X_0)$. Then there is a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$\nabla Q_0^1 - \nabla Q_0^2 \in C_0^7(\Omega), \quad \|\nabla Q_0^j\|_{C^7(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon, \tag{19}$$

then $Q_0^1 \equiv Q_0^2$. (see Figure 2)



FIGURE 2. Dipole Refraction off inhomogeneities: The image of the trajectories of the centers of mass $X_j = (x_j, \xi_j)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ with the initial position x_0 and direction ξ_0.

2.1. **Methodology and relationship to the rigidity problem.** The inverse problem we address here is to determine the background potential by knowing the first arrival time, the exit point and direction of the dipole's path if one knows its point and direction of entrance.

This inverse problem is closely related to the lens rigidity problem which consists of determining the Riemannian metric from the scattering relation which measures, besides the travel times, the point and direction of exit of a geodesic from the manifold. For simple metrics, it was observed by Michel [14] that the lens rigidity problem is equivalent to the boundary rigidity one which consists of determining the metric by knowing the boundary distance function between boundary points. This kind of problem arose in geophysics in attempting to study the inner structure of the Earth by measuring the travel time of seismic waves going through the Earth. We refer to [23] and the references therein for the recent development of the rigidity problem.

Our investigation on the reconstruction of the background potential from the dipole's trajectories is inspired by the results of Stefanov and Uhlmann [22] on the boundary rigidity problem. They showed that the Riemannian metrics can be determined from the lengths of the geodesics if the metrics are close to the Euclidean one. An important step to recover the metric in [22] is the establishment of an identity which connects the difference of two Riemannian metrics with the scattering relations. A similar identity was used later to prove the stability estimate of the rigidity problem in [29]. Moreover, a numerical algorithm was designed in [3] for the isotropic index of refraction from travel time measurements. This identity works not only for geodesics which was considered in the works [3, 4, 18, 22, 23, 28, 29], but also for any kind of curves under suitable assumptions.

This paper is mainly devoted to analyzing an anisotropic background potential using the trajectories of vortex dipoles. We first derive from (9) and (10), the ODE system (15)

and (16) with the Hamiltonian (14) which describes the travel trajectories of the centers of mass of the dipole. The reduction to an ODE system combined with a suitable assumption on exit information around the boundary of the domain leads to an identity which reveals that the variation in potentials is related to their scattering data. The main result we prove in this paper is the uniqueness of the potential. To make our approach clear, we start from considering a linearization of the problem and then discuss the setting for the potential in an inhomogeneous background. The idea in the linearized setting is to derive from (20) that the integral along the trajectory of the difference of the two potentials is zero. Then we recover the potential by applying the standard Fourier transform. However, it is not an obvious path to extend the same method to the study of the potential in an inhomogeneous background. To address the anisotropic potential, we use a perturbation argument and the identity (20) to derive L^2 boundedness of a Fourier integral operator. Therefore, the uniqueness of the potential comes from the inversion of this operator.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 3, we study the key identity which links two background potentials with the travel information. The uniqueness of the potential for the linearized setting of the inverse problem is presented in section 4. We extend the idea from linearized case to the anisotropic setting and prove Theorem 2 in section 5.

3. The key Stefanov-Uhlmann identity

In this section, we introduce an important identity from Stefanov and Uhlmann's paper [22]. The identity derived in [22] for the boundary rigidity problem relies on the hypotheses that two Riemannian metrics are close to the Euclidean metric and have the same boundary distance function. Since the boundary rigidity problem and the lens rigidity one are equivalent for simple metrics, if we assume the exit information and travel time are known, then the same identity can be derived in the same manner as in [22]. We state this identity in the following lemma and include its proof for completeness. With this identity, we are able to derive the uniqueness of the background potential.

Lemma 1. *Under the hypothesis in Theorem 2, we have*

$$\int_0^t \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial X_0}(t-s, X_1(s, X_0))(V_1 - V_2)(X_1(s, X_0))ds = 0, \tag{20}$$

where

$$V_j := (\partial_\xi H_j, -\partial_x H_j)^T, \quad j = 1, 2$$

are the Hamiltonian vector fields. Here t is the time defined in (17) for the initial starting data X_0 and the superscript T stands for the transpose of a vector or matrix.

Proof. Let $F(s) = X_2(t-s, X_1(s, X_0))$. Here $t = t(X_0)$ is independent of the potential Q_0^1 or Q_0^2. From the hypothesis of Theorem 2, the two trajectories of the centers of mass $X_j,\ j = 1, 2$ with the same initial X_0 have the same point and direction of exit and travel time. This implies $F(0) = X_2(t, X_0) = X_1(t, X_0) = F(t)$. Hence, we have

$$\int_0^t F'(s)ds = 0.$$

Moreover, the function F' is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F'(s) &= -V_2(X_2(t-s, X_1(s,X_0)) + \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial X_0}(t-s, X_1(s,X_0))V_1(X_1(s,X_0)) \\ &= \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial X_0}(t-s, X_1(s,X_0))(V_1 - V_2)(X_1(s,X_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where the second identity is due to the fact that

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{s=0} X(T-s, X(s,X_0)) = -V(X(T,X_0)) + \frac{\partial X}{\partial X_0}(T,X_0)V(X_0)$$

for all T. Therefore, the identity (20) holds. □

We make an adjustment to (20) by replacing $t(X_0)$ with the large, constant time $\tau^1 = \tau^2 = \tau$, see (18), since this parameter will commute with integrals. We claim that $X_1(\tau, X_0) = X_2(\tau, X_0)$ in the above situation. Since $t(X_0) = \max\{t^1,\ t^2\}$ is the final time the vortices interact with the background potential, $Q_0^j(x_j(s) \pm \xi_j^\perp(s)) \equiv \textit{constant}$ for all $s \geq t(X_0)$, so the later positions at $s = \tau$ arise from those at $s = t(X_0)$ via straight lines. Since solutions are unique, the trajectories are identical after $t(X_0)$. Therefore,

$$\int_0^\tau \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial X_0}(\tau - s, X_1(s,X_0))(V_1 - V_2)(X_1(s,X_0))ds = 0 \tag{21}$$

for all given X_0.

4. The Linearized Case

In this section, the linearized version of this dipole inverse problem is studied. We will apply the identity (21) to derive the uniqueness of the background potential in this setting.

Let $\rho > 0$ be chosen so that $\overline{\Omega} \subset B_{\rho/2\pi}$, with $|\xi_0| = 1$ and $z \cdot \xi_0 = 0$. Let $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla Q_0(x)$ and $g^\perp(x) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\perp Q_0(x)$, where $\nabla^\perp$ is as defined in Section 1.2. Similar to (15) and (16), we have the following Hamiltonian system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} - (g^\perp(x+\xi^\perp) - g^\perp(x-\xi^\perp)) \\ x(0) = z - \frac{\rho}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} =: x_0 \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}(s) = -(g(x+\xi^\perp) + g(x-\xi^\perp)) \\ \xi(0) = \xi_0. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

We first consider the dipole problem in the absence of the gradient of the background potential. Assume that $g(x) \equiv 0$. Then the solution to (22)-(23) is $X_e(s, X_0) = (x_e(s,X_0), \xi_e(s,X_0))$ with initial $X_0 = (x_0, \xi_0)$. More precisely, the solution $X_e(s, X_0)$ can be written as[†]

$$X_e(s, X_0) = \left(x_0 + \frac{s}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|^2},\ \xi_0\right) \tag{24}$$

for all s. We formally differentiate $X_e(s, X_0)$ with respect to X_0 and obtain a 4×4 matrix

$$\frac{\partial X_e(s,X_0)}{\partial X_0} = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & s\,E(\xi_0) \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

[†]Note that in [22], they have $X_e(s, X_0) = (x_0 + s\xi_0, \xi_0)$.

where

$$E(\xi_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\frac{I_2}{|\xi_0|^2} - \frac{2\xi_0 \otimes \xi_0}{|\xi_0|^4}\right]. \tag{25}$$

Following the argument in [22], we first do a formal linearization of the problem about the trivial state in (24). For $\varepsilon > 0$, the linearized expression is:

$$\begin{aligned} x^\varepsilon &= x_0 + \frac{s}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|^2} + \varepsilon\,\tilde{x}, \quad \xi^\varepsilon = \xi_0 + \varepsilon\,\tilde{\xi}, \\ X^\varepsilon &= (x^\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon), \quad g^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \tilde{g}. \end{aligned}$$

The difference of the gradient of two potentials Q_0^j, $j = 1, 2$, is denoted by

$$m^\varepsilon = g_1^\varepsilon - g_2^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \tilde{m}.$$

The Fréchet derivative on our identity (21) yields

$$0 = \int_0^\tau \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} \left[\frac{\partial X_2^\varepsilon}{\partial X_0}(\tau - s, X_1^\varepsilon(s, X_0)) \cdot (V_2^\varepsilon - V_1^\varepsilon)(X_1^\varepsilon(s, X_0))\right] ds.$$

To compute this, first note that

$$(V_2^\varepsilon - V_1^\varepsilon)(X_1^\varepsilon(s, X_0))|_{\varepsilon=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so we only need to take the variation with respect to the difference $V_2^\varepsilon - V_1^\varepsilon$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}(s, x_0, \xi_0) &:= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} [(V_2^\varepsilon - V_1^\varepsilon)(X_1^\varepsilon(s, X_0))] \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}^\perp(x_e + \xi_0^\perp) - \tilde{m}^\perp(x_e - \xi_0^\perp) \\ \tilde{m}(x_e + \xi_0^\perp) + \tilde{m}(x_e - \xi_0^\perp) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_e = x_e(s, X_0)$ is as in (24). Therefore, the final linearized identity is

$$\int_0^\tau \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & (\tau - s)E(\xi_0) \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{V}(s, x_0, \xi_0) ds = 0. \tag{26}$$

With the above linearized identity, we will be able to show uniqueness for the linearizing dipole problem. The result is stated as follows.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that supp* $(\tilde{m}) \subset \Omega$. *If* (26) *holds for all* $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{R}\,\xi_0^\perp$, *then* $\tilde{m} = 0$ *in* Ω.

Note that $\mathbb{R}\xi_0^\perp = \{\lambda \xi_0^\perp : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Proof. Since the dipole pair will exit the ball $B_{\rho/2\pi}$ after time τ by the definition of τ, and supp $(\tilde{m}) \subset B_{\rho/2\pi}$, we can extend the integration region of (26) to $(-\infty, \infty)$. Hence, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & (\tau - s)E(\xi_0) \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}^\perp(x_e + \xi_0^\perp) - \tilde{m}^\perp(x_e - \xi_0^\perp) \\ \tilde{m}(x_e + \xi_0^\perp) + \tilde{m}(x_e - \xi_0^\perp) \end{pmatrix} ds = 0.$$

Note that $x_e(s) = z - \frac{\rho}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} + \frac{s}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|^2}$. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $\eta \cdot \xi_0 = 0$; clearly, $\eta \cdot z = \eta \cdot x_e$. Taking the Fourier transform of the above integral with respect to z, we obtain

$$\int_{z\cdot\xi_0=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e(s,X_0)} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & (\tau - s)E(\xi_0) \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}_+^\perp - \tilde{m}_-^\perp \\ \tilde{m}_+ + \tilde{m}_- \end{pmatrix} ds\, dS_z = 0,$$

where $\tilde{m}_\pm = \tilde{m}(x_e \pm \xi_0^\perp)$. Choose $\xi_0^\perp \equiv \xi_\eta^\perp = \eta/|\eta|$, where the subscript emphasizes dependence on η. Let $(s, z) \to x_e$ via

$$\begin{aligned} s &= |\xi_\eta|\,\rho + 2\pi\xi_\eta \cdot x_e, \\ z &= x_e - \frac{\xi_\eta \cdot x_e}{|\xi_\eta|^2}\,\xi_\eta, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(\tilde{m}_+ - \tilde{m}_-)dx_e = E^\perp(\xi_\eta) \int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(\tau - s(x_e))(\tilde{m}_+ + \tilde{m}_-)dx_e, \\ &\int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(\tilde{m}_+ + \tilde{m}_-)dx_e = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $E^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} E$ satisfies $E^\perp m = (Em)^\perp$. Adding and subtracting these equations:

$$\int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}\tilde{m}(x_e \pm \xi_\eta^\perp)dx_e = \pm\frac{1}{2}\,E^\perp(\xi_\eta) \int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(\tau - s(x_e))[\tilde{m}(x_e + \xi_\eta^\perp) + \tilde{m}(x_e - \xi_\eta^\perp)]dx_e. \tag{28}$$

We change variables $y_\pm = x_e \pm \xi_\eta^\perp$ in the appropriate integrals such that $\tilde{m}_\pm = \tilde{m}(y_\pm)$. Noting that $s(x_e) = s(y_\pm)$ and $e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e} = e^{\pm i|\eta| - i\eta\cdot y_\pm}$, we get

$$e^{\pm i|\eta|} \int e^{-i\eta\cdot y_\pm}\tilde{m}(y_\pm)dy_\pm = \pm E^\perp(\xi_\eta)\cos(|\eta|) \int e^{-i\eta\cdot y}(\tau - s(y))\tilde{m}(y)dy, \qquad \pm = +, -,$$

which implies

$$e^{\pm i|\eta|}\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta) = \pm E^\perp(\xi_\eta)\,[(\tau - \rho)\cos(|\eta|)\,\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta) - 2\pi i\,\cos(|\eta|)\,(\xi_\eta \cdot \nabla_\eta)\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta)], \tag{29}$$

where we use that τ is a constant and denote the Fourier transform of f by $\widehat{f}$. Since

$$\cos(|\eta|)\,\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta) = 0, \tag{30}$$

the first term in (29) vanishes. Moreover, let the operator $\xi_\eta \cdot \nabla_\eta$ acts on (29), then we obtain

$$0 = (\xi_\eta \cdot \nabla_\eta)(\cos(|\eta|)\,\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta)) = \cos(|\eta|)\,(\xi_\eta \cdot \nabla_\eta)\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta),$$

which implies the second term in (29) also vanishes. Thus, $\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\,i\eta\,(\widehat{Q}_0^1(\eta) - \widehat{Q}_0^2(\eta))$, we conclude $\widehat{\tilde{m}}(\eta) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Hence, $\tilde{m} \equiv 0$.

□

5. The reconstruction of the anisotropic background potential

In this section, we investigate the dipole inverse problem in an inhomogeneous background. In this setting, the background potential is not a constant; therefore, from the ODE system (22) and (23), we observe that the dipole's trajectories are affected by potentials; consequently, dipoles do not, in general, travel in straight lines.

5.1. **The weakly nonlinear inverse problem.** Suppose that we have two background potentials Q_0^j for $j = 1, 2$. The gradients $g_j = \frac{1}{2}\nabla Q_0^j$ satisfy

$$g_1(z) - g_2(z) \in C_0^{k-1}(\Omega) \ \text{ and } \ \|g_j\|_{C^{k-1}(\Omega)} \le \varepsilon$$

for $j = 1, 2$. We extend g_1 and g_2 to $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{\rho/2\pi}$, and $g_j \in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $j = 1, 2$. The extended functions g_j satisfy

$$g_1 - g_2 \in C_0^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \ \text{ and } \ \|g_j\|_{C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \varepsilon. \tag{31}$$

From now on, we use the same symbols g_1 and g_2 to denote the extended gradient of the background potentials Q_0^j which satisfy the above conditions.

Let $X_j = (x_j, \xi_j)$, for $j = 1, 2$, be the solutions to (22) and (23) with g replaced by g_j, respectively. Recall that if $g \equiv 0$, then from (24) the solution is $X_e(s, X_0) = (x_0 + \frac{s}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|^2},\ \xi_0)$ with $x_0 = z - \frac{\rho}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|}$ and $z \cdot \xi_0 = 0$. For general functions $g_j \neq 0$ that satisfy (31), the solutions X_1 and X_2 can be expressed as small perturbations of the solution X_e:

$$x_j(s, X_0) = x_0 + \frac{s}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|^2} + O(\varepsilon) \ \text{ in } C^{k-1} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\xi_j(s, X_0) = \xi_0 + O(\varepsilon) \ \text{ in } C^{k-1}, \tag{33}$$

where the C^j norm is interpreted with respect to variables $s,\ z,\ \xi_0$ and $O(\varepsilon)$ is a function with norm bounded by $C\varepsilon$ with a constant $C > 0$ uniformly in any fixed compact set.

Taking the derivatives of (32) and (33) with respect to variables $X_0 = (x_0, \xi_0)$, it follows that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial X_0} - \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & s\,E(\xi_0) \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{C^{k-2}} = O(\varepsilon),$$

where E is as in (25). We then obtain

$$\frac{\partial X_2}{\partial X_0}(\tau - s, X_1(s, X_0)) = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & (\tau - s)\,E(\xi_0) \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} + B(s) \tag{34}$$

in (21) with the 4×4 matrix

$$B(s) = B(s, X_0; Q_0^1, Q_0^2) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} = O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } C^{k-2}. \tag{35}$$

Here, τ in (18) is the largest possible time s for the vortices to exit $B_{\rho/2\pi}$.

We denote $\mathbf{m} = g_1 - g_2$. From the assumptions (31) on g_j, we get $\mathbf{m} \in C_0^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{m}) \subset \Omega$. The difference of the Hamiltonian vector fields is

$$\begin{aligned} &(V_2 - V_1)(X_1(s, X_0)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m}^\perp(x_1 + \xi_1^\perp) - \mathbf{m}^\perp(x_1 - \xi_1^\perp) \\ \mathbf{m}(x_1 + \xi_1^\perp) + \mathbf{m}(x_1 - \xi_1^\perp) \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_1(x_1, \xi_1^\perp) \\ \mathbf{M}_2(x_1, \xi_1^\perp) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Recalling (21), we can extend the integration region to the whole real line, since $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{m}) \subset \Omega$. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial X_0}(\tau - s, X_1(s, X_0)) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_1(x_1, \xi_1^\perp) \\ \mathbf{M}_2(x_1, \xi_1^\perp) \end{pmatrix} ds = 0, \tag{37}$$

where $\partial X_2/\partial X_0$ is evaluated to $O(\varepsilon)$ in (34). Recall $x_e = z - \frac{\rho}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} + \frac{s}{2\pi}\frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|^2}$, where $z\cdot\xi_0 = 0$. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfy $\eta \cdot \xi_0 = 0$ and taking the Fourier transform in z give

$$\int_{z\cdot\xi_0=0}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}\left(\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & (\tau-s)E(\xi_0) \\ 0 & I_2\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22}\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{M}_1(x_1,\xi_1^\perp)\\ \mathbf{M}_2(x_1,\xi_1^\perp)\end{pmatrix} ds\, dS_z = 0.$$

Let $(s,z)\to x_e$ be as in (27). We obtain a system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(\mathbf{m}(x_1+\xi_1^\perp) - \mathbf{m}(x_1-\xi_1^\perp))dx_e &= -(W^1\mathbf{m} + W^3\mathbf{m})^\perp,\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(\mathbf{m}(x_1+\xi_1^\perp) + \mathbf{m}(x_1-\xi_1^\perp))dx_e &= W^2\mathbf{m},\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $M_i = M_i(x_1,\xi_1^\perp)$ for $x_1 = x_1(x_e,\xi_0)$ and $\xi_1 = \xi_1(x_e,\xi_0)$, and the (small) terms on the right hand side are given by

$$\begin{aligned}W^j\mathbf{m}(\eta) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(B_{jk}\mathbf{M}_k)dx_e, j=1,2,\\ W^3\mathbf{m}(\eta) &= -E(\xi_0)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(\tau-\rho-2\pi\xi_0\cdot x_e)\mathbf{M}_2 dx_e.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Adding and subtracting the equations in (38) yields

$$\int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}\mathbf{m}(x_1\pm\xi_1^\perp)dx_e = \frac{1}{2}[W^2\mathbf{m} \mp (W^1\mathbf{m}+W^3\mathbf{m})^\perp], \tag{40}$$

which contains small terms compared to (28). Our objective is to show that (40) implies $\mathbf{m} = 0$ if ε is small enough.

In section 5.2, we simplify the left hand side (LHS) of (40) by using a change of variables and recasting the result in terms of oscillatory integrals (OIs). The right hand side (RHS) of (40) is studied in section 5.3 where we employ a similar change of variables and show that these terms are small due to the $O(\varepsilon)$ hypothesis. Our uniqueness theorem follows directly in section 5.4.

5.2. **Presentation in terms of OIs.** As in the linearized case, we will set $\xi_0 = -\eta^\perp/|\eta|$. In order to remove the resulting singularity at $\eta = 0$ in our OI amplitudes, we first multiply by a cutoff function.

Let $\chi_a(\eta) = \chi(|\eta|/a) \in C^\infty([0,\infty))$ be a cutoff function such that $\chi(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$ and $\chi(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$, where $a > 0$ is a small parameter depending on ε to be chosen later. We denote

$$\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}(\eta) := \int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}\chi_a(\eta)\mathbf{m}(x_1\pm\xi_1^\perp)dx_e, \quad \pm = +,-, \tag{41}$$

then multiplying $\chi_a(\eta)$ on both sides of (40) leads to

$$\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{W}^2\mathbf{m} \mp (\mathcal{W}^1\mathbf{m}+\mathcal{W}^3\mathbf{m})^\perp], \tag{42}$$

where $\mathcal{W}^j = \chi_a(\eta)W^j$.

Let us analyze the operators $\mathcal{F}_\pm$. We make the following changes of variables in the integral (41) (recall (32) and (33)):

$$\begin{aligned} x_e \to y &= x_1(x_e,\xi_0) \pm \xi_1^\perp(x_e,\xi_0) \\ &= x_e \pm \xi_0^\perp + O(\varepsilon) \text{ in } C^{k-1}, \\ x_e^\pm(y,\xi_0) &= \mp\xi_0^\perp + \phi_\pm(y,\xi_0), \\ &= \mp\xi_0^\perp + y + O(\varepsilon) \text{ in } C^{k-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

The associated Jacobians are given by $J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_0) = 1 + O(\varepsilon)$ in C^{k-2}, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\pm \mathbf{m}(\eta) &= \int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e^\pm(y,\xi_0)} \mathbf{m}(y) J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_0) dy \\ &= \int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_\pm(y,\xi_\eta)} e^{\pm i|\eta|} \chi_a(\eta)\mathbf{m}(y) J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta) dy, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where we can set ξ_0 to be

$$\xi_\eta \equiv \xi_0 = -\frac{\eta^\perp}{|\eta|} = \frac{1}{|\eta|}(-\eta_2,\eta_1), \qquad \eta \neq 0 \tag{45}$$

due to $\eta\cdot\xi_0 = 0$.

Furthermore, the amplitudes of $\mathcal{F}_\pm$ can be described by the following symbol class.

Definition 1. *We say that $a(x,y,\xi) \in S_k^m$ if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$, such that*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{m-|\gamma|}, \quad |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| \leq k,$$

for $x,\ y \in B_{\rho/2\pi}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

It follows from (43) and (44) that $\mathcal{F}_\pm$ has the amplitude $\chi_a(\eta) J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta)$ in S_{k-2}^0 , since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\pm(y,\xi_\eta) &= y + O(\varepsilon) \text{ in } S_{k-1}^0, \\ J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta) &= 1 + O(\varepsilon) \text{ in } S_{k-2}^0. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

5.3. **The analysis of $\mathcal{W}^j$.** Let us next characterize the integrals $\mathcal{W}^j\mathbf{m} = \chi_a(\eta) W^j \mathbf{m}$ for $j = 1,2,3$.

Recall the definition of W^j in (39); then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^j\mathbf{m}(\eta) = &\int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e} B_j^+(x_e,\xi_0)\mathbf{m}(x_1(x_e,\xi_0) + \xi_1^\perp(x_e,\xi_0))dx_e \\ &+ \int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e} B_j^-(x_e,\xi_0)\mathbf{m}(x_1(x_e,\xi_0) - \xi_1^\perp(x_e,\xi_0))dx_e, \quad j = 1,2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}^3\mathbf{m}(\eta) = -E(\xi_0)(\tau-\rho)\mathcal{W}^2\mathbf{m}(\eta) + 2\pi E(\xi_0)\int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}(\xi_0\cdot x_e)\chi_a(\eta)\mathbf{M}_2 dx_e, \tag{47}$$

where $B_j^\pm$ is a matrix function whose entries is a combination of entries of $B(s)$, such that $B_j^\pm$ is still $O(\varepsilon)$ in C^{k-2}, see (35).

We first consider $\mathcal{W}^j$ for $j = 1, 2$ since they only contain small terms in their amplitudes. We apply the same changes of variables (43) to $\mathcal{W}^j$. Fixing ξ_0 as in (45), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}^j\mathbf{m}(\eta) = &\int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_+(y,\xi_\eta)}e^{i|\eta|}\chi_a(\eta)B_j^+(x_e(y,\xi_\eta),\xi_\eta)\mathbf{m}(y)J_+^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta)dy\\ &+\int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_-(y,\xi_\eta)}e^{-i|\eta|}\chi_a(\eta)B_j^-(x_e(y,\xi_\eta),\xi_\eta)\mathbf{m}(y)J_-^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta)dy,\quad j=1,2,\end{aligned}$$

where the amplitude matrices $\chi_a(\eta)B_j^\pm J_\pm^{-1}$ in each integral are $O(\varepsilon)$ in S^0_{k-2}.

Now we turn to operator $\mathcal{W}^3$. The first term of $\mathcal{W}^3\mathbf{m}(\eta)$ is also $O(\varepsilon)$ in S^0_{k-2} because $E(\xi_\eta)$ is homogeneous of degree 0 in η and $\mathcal{W}^2\mathbf{m}(\eta)$ is $O(\varepsilon)$ in S^0_{k-2}. So we need only study the second term:

$$\mathcal{W}^4\mathbf{m}(\eta) := \int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}\,(\xi_0\cdot x_e)\,[\mathbf{m}(x_1+\xi_1^\perp)+\mathbf{m}(x_1-\xi_1^\perp)]dx_e. \tag{48}$$

Applying the change of variables (43) as before and noting that $\xi_\eta\cdot x_e^\pm = \xi_\eta\cdot\phi_\pm$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}^4\mathbf{m}(\eta) &= \int e^{-i\eta\cdot x_e}\,(\xi_\eta\cdot x_e)\,[\mathbf{m}(x_1+\xi_1^\perp)+\mathbf{m}(x_1-\xi_1^\perp)]dx_e\\ &= \int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_+(y,\xi_\eta)+i|\eta|}\,[\xi_\eta\cdot\phi_+(y,\xi_\eta)]\,\chi_a(\eta)\,\mathbf{m}(y)\,J_+^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta)dy\\ &\quad+\int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_-(y,\xi_\eta)-i|\eta|}\,[\xi_\eta\cdot\phi_-(y,\xi_\eta)]\,\chi_a(\eta)\,\mathbf{m}(y)\,J_-^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta)dy.\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Lemma 2. *The operator $\mathcal{W}^4$ has amplitudes of $O(\varepsilon/a)$ in S^0_{k-3}.*

Proof. From (42),

$$\mathcal{F}_+\mathbf{m}(\eta)+\mathcal{F}_-\mathbf{m}(\eta) = \mathcal{W}^2\mathbf{m}(\eta),$$

so applying $\Xi := \xi_\eta\cdot\nabla_\eta$ to this identity and noting that $\Xi(|\eta|) = 0$ give

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi\mathcal{W}^2\mathbf{m}(\eta) = &\int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_++i|\eta|}[-i(\xi_\eta\cdot\phi_+ + \eta\cdot\Xi\phi_+)J_+^{-1}+\Xi J_+^{-1}]\chi_a\mathbf{m}dy\\ &+\int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_--i|\eta|}[-i(\xi_\eta\cdot\phi_- + \eta\cdot\Xi\phi_-)J_-^{-1}+\Xi J_-^{-1}]\chi_a\mathbf{m}dy.\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Therefore, from (50) and the definition (49), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{W}^4\mathbf{m}(\eta)\\ &= \int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_++i|\eta|}[(\xi_\eta\cdot\phi_+)B_2^+J_+^{-1}+(\eta\cdot\Xi\phi_+)J_+^{-1}(B_2^+-1)+i\Xi((B_2^+-1)J_+^{-1})]\chi_a\mathbf{m}dy\\ &\quad+\int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_--i|\eta|}[(\xi_\eta\cdot\phi_-)B_2^-J_-^{-1}+(\eta\cdot\Xi\phi_-)J_-^{-1}(B_2^--1)+i\Xi((B_2^--1)J_-^{-1})]\chi_a\mathbf{m}dy\\ &=: \mathcal{W}_1^4\mathbf{m}(\eta)+\mathcal{W}_2^4\mathbf{m}(\eta).\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The first term of $\mathcal{W}_k^4\mathbf{m}$, $k = 1, 2$, is $O(\varepsilon)$ in S^0_{k-2} due to the small term $B_2^\pm$. For the second term of $\mathcal{W}_k^4\mathbf{m}$, since

$$\eta\cdot\Xi\phi_\pm(y,\xi_\eta) = -\,\partial_{\xi^j}\phi_\pm^k(y,\xi_\eta)\frac{\eta^j\eta^k}{|\eta|^2}$$

and $\phi_\pm = y + O(\varepsilon)$ in C^{k-1}, it follows that $(\eta \cdot \Xi\phi_\pm)J_\pm^{-1}(B_2^\pm - 1) = O(\varepsilon)$ in S^0_{k-2}. For the third term of $\mathcal{W}_k^4\mathbf{m}$, since

$$\Xi J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta) = -\nabla_\xi J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta)\cdot\frac{\eta}{|\eta|^2},$$

and $J_\pm^{-1} = 1 + O(\varepsilon)$ in C^{k-2}, we obtain that $\Xi J_\pm^{-1} = O(\varepsilon)$ in S^{-1}_{k-3}, and similarly with $B_2^\pm$. However, upon multiplication by origin cutoff $\chi_a(\eta) = \chi(|\eta|/a)$, these S^{-1}_{k-3} terms become ones that are only $O(\varepsilon/a)$ in S^0_{k-3}. Since $S^0_{k-2} \subset S^0_{k-3}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{W}^4$ has amplitudes of $O(\varepsilon/a)$ in S^0_{k-3}.

□

Therefore, from definitions (47) and (48), we can rewrite equation (42) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathcal{W}^2\mathbf{m} \mp (\mathcal{W}^1\mathbf{m} + \mathcal{W}^3\mathbf{m})^\perp\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathcal{W}^2\mathbf{m} \mp (\mathcal{W}^1\mathbf{m} + (-E(\xi_0)(\tau-\rho)\mathcal{W}^2\mathbf{m} + 2\pi E(\xi_0)\mathcal{W}^4\mathbf{m}))^\perp\right], \qquad (52)\\ &=: \mathcal{W}_\pm^1\mathbf{m} + \mathcal{W}_\pm^2\mathbf{m},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_\pm^1\mathbf{m}(\eta) &= \int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_+(y,\xi_\eta)+i|\eta|}W_\pm^1(y,\eta)\mathbf{m}(y)dy,\\ \mathcal{W}_\pm^2\mathbf{m}(\eta) &= \int e^{-i\eta\cdot\phi_-(y,\xi_\eta)-i|\eta|}W_\pm^2(y,\eta)\mathbf{m}(y)dy\end{aligned}$$

are OIs with amplitudes $W_\pm^j$ that are $O(\varepsilon/a)$ in S^0_{k-3}. Here $W_\pm^j(y,\eta)$ is a combination of the symbols of the integrals on the second identity in (52) with phase $-i\eta\cdot\phi_\pm \pm i|\eta|$, respectively.

5.4. L^2 **estimates.** The following lemma is crucial for analyzing the L^2 boundedness of Fourier integral operators.

Lemma 3. *Let $r \le 0$, $l \in \mathbb{R}$. An operator A is defined by*

$$Af(x) = \int\int e^{-i(x-y)\cdot\xi}a(x,y,\xi)f(y)dyd\xi.$$

Suppose that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\le 2n+[-r+|l|]+1}\int\int |\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta a(x,y,\xi)|dxdy \le M(1+|\xi|)^r, \text{ for all } \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $[s]$ is an integer such that $0 \le s - [s] < 1$. Then $A : H^{l+r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded operator with the norm $\le CM$ for some constant $C > 0$, that is,

$$\|Af\|_{H^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le CM\|f\|_{H^{l+r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $f \in H^{l+r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. When $r = l = 0$, it was proved in the Appendix of [21] by extending the proof of Theorem 18.1.11′ in [10] to amplitudes $a(x,y,\xi)$. For the general case, that is, $r \le 0$, $l \in \mathbb{R}$, it was showed in [29] by using a similar argument. □

We will study the L^2 norm on each side of (52). For the left hand side, we let $\mathcal{F}_\pm^*$ be the adjoint of (44) and consider the operators

$$\mathcal{F}_\pm^*\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\eta\cdot(\phi_\pm(w,\xi_\eta)-\phi_\pm(y,\xi_\eta))}\chi_a^2(\eta)J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_\eta)J_\pm^{-1}(w,\xi_\eta)\mathbf{m}(y)\,dy\,d\eta, \quad \pm = +,-.$$

As in [22], the phase function $\eta\cdot\phi_\pm$ admits the representation

$$\eta\cdot(\phi_\pm(y,\xi_\eta)-\phi_\pm(x,\xi_\eta)) = (y-x)\cdot\theta_\pm(x,y,\eta),$$

where

$$\theta_\pm(x,y,\eta) = \eta^j\int_0^1(\nabla_x\phi_\pm^j)(x+t(y-x),\xi_\eta)dt.$$

Recall from (46) that $\phi_\pm(y,\xi_\eta) = y+O(\varepsilon)$ in S^0_{k-1}. It follows that $\theta_\pm(x,y,\eta) = \eta+O(\varepsilon)$ in S^1_{k-2} and is homogeneous of degree one in η. In particular,

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta\partial_\eta^\gamma(\theta_\pm(x,y,\eta)-\eta)| \le C\varepsilon|\eta|^{1-\gamma}\ , |\alpha|+|\beta|\le k-2,\ x,y\in B_{\rho/2\pi}.$$

The equation $\theta = \theta_\pm(x,y,\eta)$ can be solved for η if ε is sufficiently small when $\eta\in\mathrm{supp}(\chi_a)$ and $x,\ y\in B_{\rho/2\pi}$. Then the solution $\eta_\pm = \eta_\pm(x,y,\theta)$ satisfies $\eta_\pm = \theta+O(\varepsilon)$ in S^1_{k-2}. The corresponding Jacobian is

$$J_{1,\pm}^{-1}(x,y,\theta) = |D\theta_\pm/D\eta_\pm| = 1+O(\varepsilon)\text{ in }S^0_{k-3}.$$

We change variables, $\eta\to\theta$ in $\mathcal{F}_\pm^*\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}(w)$ and obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_\pm^*\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}(w) = \int\int e^{i(w-y)\cdot\theta}a_\pm(y,w,\theta)\mathbf{m}(y)dyd\theta,$$

where the amplitude is

$$a_\pm(y,w,\theta) = \chi_a^2(\eta_\pm(w,y,\theta))J_\pm^{-1}(y,\xi_{\eta_\pm})J_\pm^{-1}(w,\xi_{\eta_\pm})J_{1,\pm}(w,y,\theta).$$

To approximate $\mathcal{F}_\pm^*\mathcal{F}_\pm$, we define a new operator $\mathcal{P}$ by

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbf{m}(\theta) = \chi_a(\theta)\widehat{\mathbf{m}}(\theta).$$

Then we get

$$\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}\mathbf{m}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(w-y)\cdot\theta}\chi_a^2(\theta)\mathbf{m}(y)dyd\theta.$$

Let ψ be a smooth cut-off function supported in $B_{\rho/\pi}$ such that $\psi=1$ on $B_{\rho/2\pi}$. Then $\psi=1$ on $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{m})$. We consider the operators

$$\psi(\mathcal{F}_\pm^*\mathcal{F}_\pm - \mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P})\psi, \qquad \pm = +,-,$$

where the corresponding amplitudes

$$b_\pm(y,w,\theta) = \psi(w)[\chi_a^2(\eta_\pm)J_\pm^{-1}J_\pm^{-1}J_{1,\pm} - \chi_a^2(\theta)]\psi(y)$$

satisfy the following properties.

Lemma 4. *For $|\alpha|+|\beta|\le k-3$, we have*

$$|\partial_y^\alpha\partial_w^\beta\,(b_\pm(y,w,\theta))\,| \le C\varepsilon \tag{53}$$

for all $y,\ w$ and $\theta\in\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover,

$$\int\int|\partial_y^\alpha\partial_w^\beta\,(b_\pm(y,w,\theta))\,|dydw \le C\varepsilon \tag{54}$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. We first recall that $J_{1,\pm} = 1+O(\varepsilon)$ in S^0_{k-3}, $J_\pm^{-1} = 1+O(\varepsilon)$ in S^0_{k-2}, and $|\chi_a(\eta)| \le C$. Then $|b_\pm(y,w,\theta)|$ is small up to derivatives of order $k-3$. In addition, since ψ is compactly supported, estimate (53) holds for all $y,\ w$ and θ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, which leads to estimate (54). □

In order to apply Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we require that the regularity k satisfies $k-3 = 2\times 2+1$. Thus, $k=8$ which explains the choice of regularity $Q_0^j \in C^8(\Omega)$ in Theorem 2. We can now derive that

$$\|\psi(\mathcal{F}_\pm^*\mathcal{F}_\pm - \mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P})\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C\varepsilon, \qquad \pm = +,-,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\|\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} - \|\mathcal{P}\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}| &= |\left\langle (\mathcal{F}_\pm^*\mathcal{F}_\pm - \mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P})\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}\right\rangle| \\ &= |\left\langle \psi(\mathcal{F}_\pm^*\mathcal{F}_\pm - \mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P})\psi\,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}\right\rangle| \\ &\le C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let* $g_j \in C_0^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *with* $k=8$ *for* $j=1,2$, *as defined in* (31). *Then we have*

$$\|\chi_a\widehat{\mathbf{m}}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Evaluating the right hand side of (52) yields an estimate of $\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}$. Let us apply a similar procedure as before to represent $(\mathcal{W}_\pm^j)^*\mathcal{W}_\pm^j$ as an operator. Since the amplitude matrix of $\mathcal{W}_\pm^j$ is $O(\varepsilon/a)$ in S^0_{k-3}, it follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 that

$$\|\psi(\mathcal{W}_\pm^j)^*\mathcal{W}_\pm^j\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \frac{C\,\varepsilon^2}{a^2},$$

which implies $\|\mathcal{W}_\pm^j\mathbf{m}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C\varepsilon/a$. Hence, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\pm\mathbf{m}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \frac{C\varepsilon}{a}\|\mathbf{m}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{55}$$

Proof of Theorem 2: We combine Lemma 5 with (55), then we acquire

$$\|\chi_a\widehat{\mathbf{m}}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{a^2}\right)\|\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

that is,

$$\int \chi_a^2(\theta)|\widehat{\mathbf{m}}(\theta)|^2 d\theta \le C\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{a^2}\right)\|\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \tag{56}$$

where $\chi_a(\theta) = \chi(|\theta|/a)$ for $\chi \in C^\infty([0,\infty))$, with $\chi(x)=1, x\ge 1$ and $\chi(x)=0, x\le 1/2$.

By Hölder's inequality and the fact that $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{m}) \subset \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{|\theta|\le a}|\widehat{\mathbf{m}}(\theta)|^2 d\theta + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{|\theta|\ge a}\chi_a^2(\theta)|\widehat{\mathbf{m}}(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &\le Ca^2\|\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + C\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{a^2}\right)\|\mathbf{m}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where the first constant C depends on Ω. Since the C's are independent of a, ε and $\mathbf{m}$ provided that ε is small enough, we choose $a = \varepsilon^{1/2}$ and ε such that $Ca^2 < 1/2$ and $2C\varepsilon < 1/2$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{m} = (\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

which implies that $Q_0^1 = Q_0^2$ in Ω due to the fact that $Q_0^1 = Q_0^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \Omega$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

[1] F. Arecchi. Space-time complexity in nonlinear optics. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 51(1–3):450–464, 1991.

[2] F. Arecchi, G. Giacomelli, P. Ramazza, and S. Residori. Vortices and defect statistics in two-dimensional optical chaos. *Physical review letters*, 67(27):3749, 1991.

[3] E. Chung, J. Qian, G. Uhlmann, and H. Zhao. A new phase space method for recovering index of refraction from travel times. *Inverse Problems*, 23(1):309–329, 2007.

[4] E. Chung, J. Qian, G. Uhlmann, and H. Zhao. An Adaptive Method in Phase Space with Application to Reflection Travel Time Tomography. *Inverse Problems*, 27:115002, 2011.

[5] J. E. Colliander and R. L. Jerrard. Vortex dynamics for the Ginzburg-Landau-Schrödinger equation. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (7):333–358, 1998.

[6] A. L. Fetter. Vortices in an imperfect bose gas. iv. translational velocity. *Phys. Rev.*, 151:100–104, Nov 1966.

[7] A. L. Fetter and A. A. Svidzinsky. Vortices in a trapped dilute Bose-Einstein condensate. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 13(12):R135, 2001.

[8] D. Freilich, D. Bianchi, A. Kaufman, T. Langin, and D. Hall. Real-time dynamics of single vortex lines and vortex dipoles in a Bose-Einstein condensate. *Science*, 329(5996):1182–1185, 2010.

[9] R. H. Goodman, P. G. Kevrekidis, and R. Carretero-Gonzalez. Dynamics of vortex dipoles in anisotropic bose–einstein condensates. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 14(2):699–729, 2015.

[10] L. Hörmander. *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III*. Springer, 1985.

[11] R. Jerrard and D. Smets. Vortex dynamics for the two dimensional non homogeneous gross-pitaevskii equation. *Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 14(3):729–766, 2002.

[12] M. Kurzke, J. L. Marzuola, and D. Spirn. Gross-Pitaevskii vortex motion with critically-scaled inhomogeneities. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, to appear.

[13] F.-H. Lin and J. X. Xin. On the incompressible fluid limit and the vortex motion law of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 200(2):249–274, 1999.

[14] R. Michel. Sur la rigidité imposée par la longueur des géodésiques. *Invent. Math.*, 65:71–83, 1981.

[15] S. Middelkamp, P. G. Kevrekidis, D. J. Frantzeskakis, R. Carretero-González, and P. Schmelcher. Bifurcations, stability, and dynamics of multiple matter-wave vortex states. *Phys. Rev. A*, 82:013646, Jul 2010.

[16] S. Middelkamp, P. J. Torres, P. G. Kevrekidis, D. J. Frantzeskakis, R. Carretero-González, P. Schmelcher, D. V. Freilich, and D. S. Hall. Guiding-center dynamics of vortex dipoles in bose-einstein condensates. *Phys. Rev. A*, 84:011605, Jul 2011.

[17] T. Neely, E. Samson, A. Bradley, M. Davis, and B. Anderson. Observation of vortex dipoles in an oblate Bose-Einstein condensate. *Physical Review Letters*, 104(16):160401, 2010.

[18] G. Paternain, M. Salo, G. Uhlmann, and H. Zhou. The geodesic x-ray transform with matrix weights. *arXiv 1605.07894*, May 2016.

[19] B. Y. Rubinstein and L. M. Pismen. Vortex motion in the spatially inhomogeneous conservative Ginzburg-Landau model. *Phys. D*, 78(1-2):1–10, 1994.

[20] K. Schwarz. Three-dimensional vortex dynamics in superfluid ^{4}He: Line-line and line-boundary interactions. *Physical Review B*, 31(9):5782, 1985.

[21] P. Stefanov and G. Uhlmann. Inverse backscattering for the acoustic equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 28(5):1191–1204, 1997.

[22] P. Stefanov and G. Uhlmann. Rigidity for metrics with the same lengths of geodesics. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 5(1-2):83–96, 1998.
[23] P. Stefanov, G. Uhlmann, and A. Vasy. Boundary rigidity with partial data. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (29):299–332, 2016.
[24] J. Stockhofe, P. G. Kevrekidis, and P. Schmelcher. *Existence, Stability and Nonlinear Dynamics of Vortices and Vortex Clusters in Anisotropic Bose-Einstein Condensates*, page 543. 2013.
[25] J. Stockhofe, S. Middelkamp, P. G. Kevrekidis, and P. Schmelcher. Impact of anisotropy on vortex clusters and their dynamics. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 93(2):20008, 2011.
[26] P. Torres, P. Kevrekidis, D. Frantzeskakis, R. Carretero-González, P. Schmelcher, and D. Hall. Dynamics of vortex dipoles in confined Bose-Einstein condensates. *Physics Letters A*, 375(33):3044–3050, 2011.
[27] M. Tsubota and S. Maekawa. Pinning and depinning of two quantized vortices in superfluid ^{4}He. *Physical Review B*, 47(18):12040, 1993.
[28] G. Uhlmann and J.-N. Wang. Boundary determination of a riemannian metric by the localized boundary distance function. *Advances in Appl. Math.*, (31):379–387, 2003.
[29] J.-N. Wang. Stability for the reconstruction of a Riemannian metric by boundary measurements. *Inverse Problems*, 15(5):1177–1192, 1999.
[30] E. Yarmchuk and R. Packard. Photographic studies of quantized vortex lines. *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, 46(5-6):479–515, 1982.

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455
E-mail address: rylai@umn.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-4350, USA
E-mail address: shankarr@uw.edu

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455
E-mail address: spirn@math.umn.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-4350, USA;

Department of Mathematics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland;

HKUST Jockey Club Institute for Advanced Study, HKUST, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
E-mail address: gunther@math.washington.edu